

Partnership Resource Teams

Summary of Initial Visit

Date of Visit: 10/11/2019

Name of Institution: Hartnell College

Partnership Resource Team Members: Gregory Anderson (Lead), Anu Khanna, Thais Winsome, Kasey Gardner, Deirdre Weaver

Area of Focus

Area of Focus 1: Employee

Engagement / Plan for
Engagement sist with key
components of our newly
established Plan for Engagement.
Maximize the number of engaged
employees and minimize the
number of actively disengaged

Point Person Group

Heard during the Visit: Institutional Activities Underway Heard during the Visit: Ideas Expressed by the Institution

Other IEPI Resources Needed?

President:

employees, and identify the issues at play. Engage increasingly ter numbers of folks in the college redesign process as part of a more encompassing aim of ensuring that employee engagement in governance and the workplace is a critical driver to more fully transitioning to a high performance organization.

Area of Focus 2: Restructuring and Streamlining the Governance Systemonsider re-structuring and streamlining the governance system to focus more strategically on the collaborative work of the College, which may involve re-structuring divisionally-based governance councils, consolidating committees, and/or embedding the ongoing work of college redesign into a more effective governance system. Conside alternative governance structures that may additionally contribute to greater collaboration and ensuring a high performance organization.

IIB. Ensure that governance bodies--including but not limited to councils and committees--are structured to most effectively contribute to the work of the

1. Classified staff æmeresented through the lens foresident: a public sector union.

x Committed to shared governance and looking forward to better understanding and using the existing system to move the college forward.

Classified:

x Classified reported that thadebeen a Classified Senate at one tirhedbdtwitndled in size such that it was no longer representative of the society, yet it was chargeted making decisions affected all Classified. The Classified felt that the union leads instriction was more representative and better suited to contribute to college governance.

Confidential employees:

x Wish to participate more in government but need the time, leads us piport and likely the structural support of a classified senate depoto facilitate their miovelved participation.

Managers:

x New managers generally unaware of the governance structure and unclear how to interact with the planning system. Students:

x Highly engaged, interested in over**carniec**s to student participation.